



Instrumentation for TGF detection

M. Marisaldi, UiB, 23/05/2019





What do we really know about elephants TGFs?





Credits: Michael Briggs, EGU 2014 Hamid Rassoul, Bergen, 2019

The discovery of TGFs





The transient high-energy universe (including Earth)









0.1 ph/cm² x ~1 MeV ~1.6 10⁻⁷ erg/cm²



Three distinct regimes, depending on which interaction type is dominant

- The cross section is material dependent \rightarrow strong constraints on detectors material choice
- E< ~200 keV: photoelectric effect is dominant
- ~200 keV < E < ~10 MeV Compton effect is dominant
- E > ~10 MeV pair production is dominant



- Effective area of a detector is the geometrical area that an ideal detector with detection efficiency 1 would have in order to detect the same number of counts as detected by the real detector
- For practical purposes:



- Depends on photon energy and incidence angle
- Typically evaluated by MC simulations using the detector's mass model
- Can define different effective areas:

total effective area (need assumptions on the incoming spectrum), photopeak effective area (strongly depends on type of interaction)

Why BATSE could detect TGFs









But...





- BATSE has 10x the effective area of Fermi GBM
- BATSE detected 79 TGFs in 9 years
- While Fermi GBM detects about 800 TGFs / year!
- Why???



- Two main data acquisition strategies:
 - Continuous acquisition (if telemetry budget allows it): all counts are collected and sent to ground
 - Triggered acquisition: data are collected and sent to ground only if a trigger condition is satisfied

If N_C in a time window Δt exceeds a certain threshold N_T --> a trigger is issued

$$N_C > N_T = n\sqrt{N_B}$$
 Where n \approx 5, N_B number of background counts in Δt

- In practice a trigger logic can be much more complicated
- The key parameter is the time window Δt : it must be close to the duration of the transient that we want to detect in order to allow for the maximum sensitivity



CGRO - BATSE



Triggered: Min ∆t = 64 ms 9 TGFs / year Can trigger only on very bright TGFs!

RHESSI



Continuous data acquisition **350 TGFs / year**

Fermi - GBM



Triggered: Min $\Delta t = 16$ ms 100 TGFs / year

Continuous data acquisition since 2012: 800 TGFs / year

AGILE



Triggered: Min $\Delta t = 0.3$ ms 1000 TGFs / year







Detector material and system architecture







RHESSI - GeD

AGILE - MCAL







	RHESSI	AGILE MCAL	Fermi GBM
Operative since	2002	2007	2008
Orbit inclination and altitude	38° 600 km	2.5° 540 km	26° 540 km
Detector type	HPGe	CsI(Tl) scintillator with solid state readout	NaI(Tl) and BGO scintillator with PMT
Energy range	0.015 – 20 MeV	0.35 – 100 MeV	0.015 – 40 MeV
Effective area for typical TGF spectrum	260 cm ²	220 cm ²	160 cm ² (1xBGO)
Acquisition type	continuous	triggered	continuous
TGFs/year	~340	~800	~800



A GOOD TGF DETECTOR



- FEE takes care of the first conversion of a charge pulse (output of a PMT or a solidstate detector), into a voltage signal that can subsequently be measured
- The FEE can be responsible for several instrumental effects that can significantly affect the measurements
- This is particularly true for TGFs, which deliver gamma-ray fluxes above the design limits of most detectors designed for astrophysics
- ALL TGFs observing missions so far are, to some extent, affected by instrumental effects driven by the FEE
- Misinterpretation of these instrumental effects can lead to wrong scientific conclusions (e.g. Pulse alteration leads to incorrect energy spectra reconstruction)

www.nuclear-power.net



Dead time



non-paralyzable

time





Radiologykey.com

Pulse pile-up

Tipycal instrumental effects (the real world)





AGILE MCAL FEE (Marisaldi+2019)

Tipycal instrumental effects (the real world)













Roberts+2017



- All TGFs observing missions must be in LEO (the lower the better)
- Low orbital inclination:
 - Pros:
 - Fly over equatorial regions (high lightning activity)
 - High exposure \rightarrow TGF surface detection density
 - Can revisit the same thunderstorm in consecutive passages
 - Cons: Cannot explore high-latitude regions
- High orbital inclination:
 - Pros: Explore high-latitude regions
 - Cons: Spend a lot of time on regions with limited lightning activity









- Gamma-ray observations alone do not allow advancements in the TGF understanding anymore
- Coordinated observations of TGFs and lightning (in different wavelengths) are a must
- This can be achieved by correlation with ground-based observations (need microsecond level absolute timing accuracy), and/or by lightning instrumentation onboard the same space platform

ASIM

X and gamma

٠

- Optical (VIS e UV), cameras and photometers
- See presentation by N. Østgaard tomorrow



TARANIS

- X and gamma (+ electron discrimination)
- Optical, camera and photometers
- Radio receivers in various bands





- A good TGF detector is a combination of several factors:
 - Detector material and geometry
 - System architecture (spatial segmentation, ecc...)
 - Front-end electronics performance (instrumental effects mitigation)
 - Read-out and data acquisition strategy
 - Mission profile

• In the real world one usually needs to make compromises (tradeoffs) between wishes (science requirements) and available budgets